

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

FILED
2025 SEP 16 09:00 AM
KING COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT CLERK
E-FILED
CASE #: 25-2-24512-8 KNT

Chief Civil Judge
Hearing Date: September 17, 2025
Without Oral Argument

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING

JANE DOE 104, an individual,

Plaintiff,

v.

PROVIDENCE ST. JOSEPH HEALTH, a
Washington Nonprofit Corporation;
PROVIDENCE HEALTH & SERVICES, a
Washington Nonprofit Corporation;
PROVIDENCE HEALTH & SERVICES –
WASHINGTON, a Washington Nonprofit
Corporation; KADLEC REGIONAL
MEDICAL CENTER, a Washington
Nonprofit Corporation; and MARK E.
MULHOLLAND, individually and on behalf
of the marital community comprised of
MARK E. MULHOLLAND and J. DOE
MULHOLLAND.

Defendants.

No. 25-2-24512-8 KNT

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION TO PROCEED UNDER
PSEUDONYM

THIS MATTER having come on duly and regularly before the Court on the Plaintiff's
Motion to Proceed Under Pseudonym. The Court having considered the records and files

1 including the motion, responses, reply, and declarations in support, grants the Motion as further
2 set forth below.

3 The Court concludes that allowing Plaintiff to proceed under their chosen pseudonym
4 is justified by compelling privacy or safety concerns that outweigh the public's interest in
5 access to the Documents. GR 15(c)(2). The Court further concludes that: (1) there are
6 compelling privacy or safety concerns identified in the Motion and therefore there is a serious
7 and imminent threat to the Plaintiff presented by making their name publicly available, *State*
8 *v. Waldon*, 148 Wn. App. 952, 967, 202 P.3d 325 (2009) (holding that "the court can comply
9 with *Ishikawa* by analyzing whether the identified compelling concern [under GR 15] also
10 poses a serious and imminent threat"); (2) Defendants were served with the Motion, had an
11 opportunity to object, and did object; (3) the claimed privacy interests outweigh the public's
12 need to know the Plaintiff's name; and (4) given that Plaintiff is only requesting to proceed
13 under a pseudonym and is not otherwise requesting that any documents or proceedings be
14 sealed, the Court's Order is no broader in application or duration than necessary, *id.*; *see also*
15 *id.* at 967 ("We conclude that GR 15 and *Ishikawa* must be read together when ruling on a
16 motion to seal or redact court records.").

17
18
19 The Court grants the Motion.

20 DATED this __ day of _____, 2025.
21
22

23 _____
24 Chief Civil Judge
25
26

1 Presented By:

2
3 SCHROETER GOLDMARK & BENDER

4 s/ Elizabeth A. Hanley

ELIZABETH A. HANLEY, WSBA #38233

5 JULIE E. KLINE, WSBA #35461

6 Counsel for Plaintiff

**King County Superior Court
Judicial Electronic Signature Page**

Case Number: 25-2-24512-8 KNT
Case Title: (DOE 104) VS PROVIDENCE ST JOSEPH HEALTH ET AL
Document Title: Order
Date Signed: 09/16/2025



Judge: David Keenan

Key/ID Number: *293428364*
Page Count: This document contains 3 page(s) plus this signature page.