Honorable Cindi S. Port 1 ŒĠÁJÔVÁFÎÁFFKGÁŒT Trial Date: January 12, 2026 ÙWÚÒÜŒÜÜÃÔUWÜVÁÔŠÒÜS Hearing Date: October 9, 2025 2 ÒËZ(ŠÒÖ 3 ÔŒÙÒÂKÁGI ËŒËÍ Ï JI Ê ÁÙÒŒ 4 5 6 7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING 8 9 EILISH HOFFMAN, individually and on behalf of all those similarly situated, No. 24-2-15794-8 SEA 10 Plaintiff, ORDER REVISING NOTICE 11 PROCESS AND LIMITING EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS WITH CLASS v. 12 13 TAGLE AND PARTNERS L.L.C., a Washington Limited Liability Company and 14 ALAN TAGLE, individually and on behalf of the marital community comprised of 15 ALAN TAGLE and J. DOE TAGLE, 16 Defendants. 17 18 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Revised Notice Process 19 and To Limit Ex Parte Communication with Class (Motion). The Court has considered the 20 following materials: 21 22 1. Plaintiff's Motion; 23 2. The Declaration of Andrew D. Boes in Support of Plaintiff's Motion, including 24 the attached exhibits; 25 3. Defendants' Response; 26

ORDER REVISING NOTICE PROCESS AND LIMITING EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS WITH CLASS – 1

- 4. The Declaration of Leah Lively in Support of Defendants' Response, including the attached exhibits;
  - 5. The Declaration of Alan Tagle in Support of Defendants' Response;
  - 6. Plaintiff's Reply;
- 7. The Supplemental Declaration of Andrew D. Boes, including the attached exhibits;
  - 8. Defendants' Sur-Response;
- 9. The Declaration of Leah Lively in Support of Defendants' Sur-Response, including the attached exhibit;
- 10. The Declaration of Alan Tagle in Support of Defendants' Sur-Response, including the attached exhibit;
  - 11. The Declaration of Emma Primozich, including the attached exhibit;
  - 12. The parties' oral arguments presented at the hearing on October 9, 2025; and
  - 13. The records and pleadings on file in this matter.

14.

The Court FINDS as follows:

- 1. The Court has discretion under Civil Rule 23 to exercise control over a class action and to enter appropriate orders. This authority includes the power to regulate the notice and opt-out process and enter appropriate orders to ensure fairness.
- 2. Class Counsel testifies that he and/or his office communicated with four individuals who expressed concern about the opt-out process and indicated that Mr. Tagle was pressuring them to exclude themselves from the certified class in this matter. One of those individuals, Emma Primozich, submitted a declaration as well.

- 3. The class list submitted by the parties, Dkt. #101, identifies 108 putative class members. As of the date of the Motion, 35 individuals requested to be excluded from the class. The parties have provided information to suggest that, in employment cases, typically one to two percent of putative class members request to be excluded from the class.
- 4. Envelopes received by the Third-Party Administrator, Atticus Administration, Inc., have indicia of coordination or irregularity in the opt-out process. As of September 19, 2025, all 32 of the envelopes received by Atticus were postmarked on one of three dates. All of those envelopes have one of two types of stamp. Many of those envelopes appear to contain the same handwriting. For some of the envelopes, the handwriting for the return address appears different from the handwriting for the sending address. On one of the envelopes, the individual writing the return address appears to have misspelled the name of the return addressee.
- 5. Based on the foregoing, there is sufficient evidence to invalidate the opt outs received to date, re-notice the class, and have Defendants issue a statement as set forth below.

  Accordingly, the Plaintiff's Motion is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part, and the Court HEREBY ORDERS:
  - 6. Opt out forms received as of the date of this Order are nullified;
- 7. The parties must undertake a new class notice period, during which class member will receive a second Class Notice. The cost of such second notice shall be advanced by Class Counsel.
- 8. Mr. Tagle shall not engage in ex parte communications with members of the class (and putative class members)-regarding this litigation. Mr. Tagle shall not ask or direct anyone that he knows to engage in ex parte communications with members of the class (and putative class members) regarding this litigation. If Mr. Tagle is approached by a class member who

wishes to discuss the litigation, or who has questions about the litigation or Class Notice, Mr. Tagle will refer that person to Mary Dardeau at 206-233-1257 and inform them he is not allowed to discuss the litigation by the court.

9. Within 14 days of entry of this Order, Mr. Tagle shall send by text to current employees, who are class members, an invitation to attend a zoom meeting at a mutually agreeable time of all counsel, as follows:

Subject: Zoom Meeting for Employee Class Members of *Hoffman v. Tagle & Partners, LLC, et al.* 

<u>Body</u>: Below is an invitation to attend a Zoom Meeting on [DATE] at [TIME] for employee class members to hear a statement regarding the class notice process in *Hoffman v. Tagle & Partners, LLC, et al.* 

During the zoom call and with all counsel of record present to observe and assist, the corrective statement listed in paragraph 10 below must be read aloud as follows:

<u>Defense Counsel Intro</u>: Good morning/afternoon. Thank you for attending this Zoom. I represent Mr. Tagle and T&P, LLC. Counsel for the class is also present on this call.

[DEFENSE COUNSEL READS THE DESIGNATED PORTION OF CORRECTIVE STATEMENT]

## [MR. TAGLE READS THE DESIGNATED PORTION OF CORRECTIVE STATEMENT]

<u>Defense Counsel Conclusion</u>: Thank you for your time. You will be receiving a new Class Notice that includes the name and contact information for class counsel should you have any questions.

Defendants must compensate the baristas at the applicable minimum wage rate for the time spent attending the zoom call. All current employees, who are class members, will also receive the corrective statement via text after the zoom call has concluded. Defendants shall furnish a copy of the text message and recipient list to their counsel, who will forward it to Class Counsel.

10. The corrective statement shall read as follows:

## **READ BY COUNSEL:**

This information is being sent to you regarding the lawsuit brought against me and Tagle & Partners, LLC by former barista Eilish Hoffman. I want to inform you that if you previously opted out after receiving the original Class Notice, your opt out was invalidated by the Court on October 9, 2025.

The court invalidated opt outs because the court was concerned that either I and those acting on my behalf may have communicated with some of you about your decision whether to participate in the class action case. The court was concerned that those communications may have discouraged some people from participating in the case.

As a result, you will be receiving a new Class Notice for the lawsuit brought against me and Tagle & Partners, LLC by former barista Eilish Hoffman. If you want to opt out after receiving the new Class Notice, you will need to send in the opt out form again.

## King County Superior Court Judicial Electronic Signature Page

Case Number: 24-2-15794-8 SEA

Case Title: HOFFMAN VS TAGLE AND PARTNERS LLC ET ANO

Document Title: Order

Date Signed: 10/16/2025

Judge: Cindi Port

Key/ID Number: \*304521357\*

Page Count: This document contains 7 page(s) plus this signature page.