1 2 3 4 5	ØČŠŠÓÖ G€G ÁÖÒÔÁŒÁEHAGHÁÚT SOÞÕÁÛUWÞVŸ ÙWÚÒÜQUÜÁÔUWÜVÆÔŠÒÜS ÙEZČŠÓÖ ÔŒÙÒÆKAG ËEËÈÌÌIÌËEÆSÞV SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR THE COUNTY OF KING	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	 EBONIE GARNICA, individually and on behalf of those similarly situated, <i>Plaintiff,</i> <i>V.</i> TECTON CORP. and IQ DATA INT'L, <i>Defendants.</i> <i>Defendants.</i> The Court denies Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, as further described below. In reaching this ruling, the Court considered: Motion for Summary Judgment, Dkt. 23; Lewis Decl., Dkt. 24; Goetz Decl., Dkt. 31; Halm Decl., Dkt. 32; Response, Dkt. 31; Lewis Decl., Dkt. 33; Lewis Decl., Dkt. 34; and Argument on the motion on December 20, 2024. 	
	ORDER - 1 King County Superior Court 516 Third Avenue Courtroom W-1060 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206)477-1483	

I. DISCUSSION

1

2

A. Summary Judgment Standard

Summary judgment is appropriate only when there is no genuine issue as to any 3 material fact and the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. CR 56(c). In 4 making this determination, a court considers all facts and makes all reasonable, factual 5 inferences in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party. Scrivener v. Clark Coll., 181 6 7 Wn.2d 439, 444, 334 P.3d 541 (2014) (citation omitted). "In ruling on a motion for summary judgment, the court's function is to determine whether a genuine issue of material 8 fact exists, not to resolve any existing factual issue." McConiga v. Riches, 40 Wn. App. 9 532, 536, 700 P.2d 331 (1985) (citation omitted). "[T]he superior court does not need to 10 state its reasoning in an order granting summary judgment," Greenhalgh v. Dep't of 11 12 Corrections, 180 Wn. App. 876, 888, 324 P.3d 771 (2014) (citation omitted), and, for decisions under CR 56, "[f]indings of fact and conclusions of law are not necessary," CR 13 14 52(a)(5)(B).

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ORDER - 2

B. The Court Denies Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment

Making all reasonable factual inferences in the light most favorable to Plaintiff as
the non-moving party, the Court concludes there are genuinely disputed issues of material
fact and therefore denies Defendant's motion for summary judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED December 20, 2024.

David S. Keenan Judge

> King County Superior Court 516 Third Avenue Courtroom W-1060 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206)477-1483

King County Superior Court Judicial Electronic Signature Page

Case Number: Case Title:

24-2-08848-2 KNT GARNICA VS TECTON CORP ET ANO

Document Title:

Order Denying Motion / Petition

Date Signed:

12/20/2024

Judge: David Keenan

Key/ID Number: *203061091*

Page Count: This document contains 2 page(s) plus this signature page.